

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: D0D8BA43D7AAE253852578690056301E

From: "Mitkevicius, K C NAE" <K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Copy To: ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE" <Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil>; "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

Delivered Date: 01/26/2009 01:31 PM EDT

Subject: RE: air modeling for LHCC ESD?

Dave,
Agree that we need further discussions on this. Do you want to wait until Weds as a followup to the weekly call? We can also have a call on Tuesday if that works better for you.

KC

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2009 11:02 AM
To: Mitkevicius, K C NAE; Beaudoin, Maurice NAE; Leitch, Robert A NAE; L'Heureux, Paul G NAE
Cc: stanley.elainet@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: air modeling for LHCC ESD?

All,

I've been thinking it might make sense to include some air modeling as part of this year's LHCC ESD. I know this isn't currently scoped out specifically, but I wanted to check to see if we can make it happen. Maybe punt on the Sawyer St cost estimates (or even the DDA GW modeling) for this year to free up scope for this? Apologies about the timing, but better late than never - basically a loose end that we should try and fix. Of course I'm assuming that the existing air model is something we could make use of to predict any increase in airborne PCBs as a result of mech. dredging and CAD cell disposal in the lower harbor.

Lets discuss further - Dave